****

In the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh.

Civil Revision No.880 of 2006.

Date of decision : 30.10.2006.

Balwant Singh Sharma .... Petitioner.

vs

Ganpat Singh and others ... Respondents.

Coram Hon'ble Ms. Justice Kiran Anand Lall.

Present: Mr.Gaurav Sethi,Advocate for
Mr.Sanjay Mittal,Advocate,for the petitioner.

*Kiran Anand Lall, J.*

The trial court having declined the relief of temporary injunction to the petitioner (plaintiff) vide order dated 10.12.2002, Annexure P1, he challenged the same, in appeal, before the Additional District Judge, Narnaul.

A bare perusal of the order of the appellate court, Annexure P2, is sufficient to conclude that no interference in the same, is called for, as it is an order passed on the basis of agreed-statements of counsel for both parties. The operative part of this order reads as under:-

> "*The learned counsel for the respondents has agreed that the suitable action against appellant shall be taken after due consideration on the report received on the fact finding enquiry and in the meantime, the admissible allowance shall remain payable to the appellant till decision thereon. The counsel for appellant has expressed his*

****

*agreement on what has been agreed by learned counsel for the respondents. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly...."*

No merit.

Dismissed, in limine.

30.10.2006. (Kiran Anand Lall)
vs. Judge.